

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Vi	rginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.go	v-

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,394	08/08/2001	Koki Hirasawa	NU-01011	2347
466	7590 11/25/2003		EXAM	INER
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202		ERDEM, FAZLI		
		OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		₩D.		
· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comment	09/923,394	HIRASAWA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit		
	Fazli Erdem	2826		
Period for Reply	appears on the cover shet with ti	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHER MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be evelable under the provisions of 37 CFI The period for really a specified above to the text than this control of the period for really a specified above to test than this control of treat than this control of the period for really as specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply ville, by standard to reply within the set or extended period for reply vill, by standard to reply within the set or extended period for reply ville, by standard to reply ville the maximum statutory period to reply ville. The period to reply ville the maximum statutory period to reply ville the period to reply ville the period to reply ville the period to reply villed to the period to reply villed to reply villed to the period to reply villed to reply vil	DN. R 1.136(e). In no event, however, may a reply to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 riod will apply end will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	6 August 2003.			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.			
 Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und 				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,17 and 19 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,17 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)				
Applicant may not request that any objection to				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	ice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli riority documents have been rec- reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not recre estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1' first sentence of the specification provisional application has been estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 5'	cation No eived in this National Stage eived. 19(e) (to a provisional application) nor in an Application Data Sheet. received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notice 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2826

. . .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolverton (5,519,596) further in view of Go et al. (6,008,528) further in view of Kusuda et al. (5,245,198).

Regarding Claims 1-10, Woolverton discloses a moldable nesting frame for light emitting diode array where a module comprised of plurality of light emitting diode lamps or other light emitting devices and a metal nesting frame with flexible joints between each row of LED bus bars is described. The flexible joint between the ros of LED bus bars allows the module to be assemble flat, which eases its manufacture. After the LED lamps have been mounted on the nesting frame, the flexible joints allow the module to be formed to fit withing or on a complex three dimensional space. Woolverton fails to disclose the required tiebar structure and the physical characteristics of the tiebar structure. However, Go et al. (6,008,528) disclose a semiconductor lead frame with channel beam tie bar where the required tiebar structure is disclosed. Furthermore, Kusuda et al. disclose an optoelectronic device metal mold for manufacturing the device and manufacturing the method of the device using the metal mold where the required physical characteristics of the tiebar structure is disclosed.

Art Unit: 2826

. . .

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required tiebar and the required tiebar physical characteristics in Woolverton, as taught by Go et al. (6,008,528) and Kusuda et al. (5,245,198) respectively in order to have a semiconductor device with better reliability.

 Claims 11-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolverton (5,519,596) further in view of Go et al. (6,008,528) further in view of Williams et al. (6,307,755).

Regarding Claims 11-14, Woolverton fail to disclose the required lead structure and the positioning structure. However, Go et al. (6,008,528) disclose a semiconductor lead frame with channel beam tie bar where the required lead structure is disclosed. Williams et al. disclose a surface mount semiconductor package, die-leadframe combination and leadframe therefore and method of mounting leadframes to surfaces of semiconductor die where the required positioning structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required lead and positioning structure in Woolverton as taught by Go et al. and Williams et al. in order to have a semiconductor device with better reliability

3. Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolverton (5,519,596) in view of Mizuuchi (6,194,238) further in view of Kelleher et al (5,734,197).

Art Unit: 2826

. .

Regarding Claims 15 and 17, Woolverton fails to disclose the method of manufacturing a photocoupling device including the lead forming an sealing steps and the deformable bar structure. However, Mizuuchi shows a method of manufacturing a photocoupling device including the lead forming and sealing steps. Furthermore, However, Kelleher et al. show a deformable leadframe.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time time invention was made to have deformable bars in Woolverton and Mizuuchi combination as taught by Kelleher et al. because such structure would result in protection for the outer leads.

 Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolverton (5,519,596) in view of Mizuuchi (6,194,238) further in view of Kelleher et al. (5,734,197) further in view of Go (6,377,742).

In combination Woolverton, Mizuuchi and Kelleher show a leadframe to be used with a photocoupling device and the related method of making the leadframe. They fail to show an outer frame with positioning holes. However, Go shows a leadframe to be used with an optical module including positioning holes as shown in Fig. 9.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include positioning holes on outer frame in Woolverton, Mizuuchi and Kelleher et al. combination as taught by Go, because that would lead to faster manufacture.

Art Unit: 2826

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (703) 305-3868. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

FE

November 16, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CUNTER 2000